

**FACILITIES COMMITTEE MINUTES
JUNE 20, 2017**

Attendance: Deirdre d'Albertis, Mark Fleischhauer, Joe Phelan, Tom Burnell, Sheldon Tieder, Rich Michel (Tetra Tech)

Memorial Review

The committee was asked to review a request from a group wishing to construct a memorial at CLS in memory of a deceased student. A drawing of the proposed memorial, list of materials, etc. was reviewed. The committee felt that the request seems reasonable, but a more detailed proposal is needed.

Project Status Review

Alternate options for the long jump/triple jump were reviewed including refurbishment of the existing jump area, reconstruction of one of the existing jump tracks and removal of the other, and construction of a new jump area at the end of the track near the student parking area. Pricing for the options is needed before any decisions can be made.

A new stack liner is recommended for the chimney. The liner will be priced as an alternate.

Locker design: The middle school lockers will need to be refurbished. There is no way to configure new lockers to meet the 8 foot code requirement. For the high school, to meet the requirement of 125 lockers per grade level, it will be necessary to use half height lockers. These lockers would be wider to accommodate today's backpacks and books. Configuration drawings were reviewed.

A list of 16 proposed alternate items was reviewed. The construction manager is working on pricing for these items. No decisions can be made on these items until the pricing is completed.

The project timeline was reviewed. Drawings and specs should be completed by June 30. We would like to have SED approval by December 2017, and go to bid in January of 2018. The current review time by SED is approximately 28-30 weeks. This would push bidding out to Mid-March. This scenario might cause delays for long duration items such as the windows and doors, athletic fields and lighting/ceiling work.

The committee discussed an alternative 3rd party review process which is expected to be approved by the State around July 3. This process would involve having the project reviewed by a 3rd party, presumably faster than SED review. There would be a cost for the alternative review, but no details are available yet. The advantage would be that our project would likely be one of the first to be reviewed under this new process, so there would be no backlog of projects to be reviewed.

Next Meeting

The architects and construction manager will be at the July 11 BOE meeting to present the project before it is submitted for review.

Respectfully submitted,
Mark Fleischhauer